Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

A. Sivalakshmidevi,^a K. Vyas,^a* G. Om Reddy,^b S. D. V. N. Sivaram,^c P. Veerabhadra Swamy,^c Ramachandra Puranik^c and P. Sairam^c

^aDiscovery Research, Dr Reddy's Laboratories, 7-1-27 Ameerpet, Hyderabad 500 016, India, ^bCustom Chemical Services, Dr Reddy's Laboratories, 7-1-27 Ameerpet, Hyderabad 500 016, India, and ^cCritical Care Division, Dr Reddy's Laboratories, 7-1-27 Ameerpet, Hyderabad 500 016, India

Correspondence e-mail: vyask@drreddys.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 K Mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.060 wR factor = 0.060 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

2'-Deoxy-2',2'-difluorocytidine monohydrochloride (Gemcitabine hydrochloride)

Gemcitabine hydrochloride (2'-deoxy-2',2'-difluorocytidine hydrochloride), $C_9H_{12}N_3F_2O_4^+ \cdot Cl^-$, is a β -nucleoside that is of pharmaceutical interest as an antitumor agent. The N atom of the cytidine ring is protonated due to salt formation. The sugar residue adopts a C3'-endo and C4'-exo conformation. In the asymmetric unit, gemcitabine cations and chloride ions are linked by N-H···Cl hydrogen bonds. In the crystal structure, screw-related gemcitabine cations are linked by O-H···O hydrogen bonds to form molecular columns along the c axis and adjacent columns are interlinked by N-H···Cl and O- $H \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl$ hydrogen bonds.

Comment

In the title compound, gemcitabine hydrochloride (henceforth referred to as GH), (I), the gemcitabine cation is protonated at N3 (Fig. 1), as observed in 2'-deoxycytidine hydrochloride (henceforth referred as DCH) (Subramanian & Hunt, 1970).

The bond lengths in GH are normal (Allen et al., 1987) and are comparable with those of DCH (Subramanian & Hunt, 1970) and β -cytidine (henceforth referred as BC) (Ward, 1993). The sugar residue of GH adopts a C3'-endo and C4'-exo conformation; C3' is displaced endo by 0.183 (4) Å and C4' is displaced exo by 0.343 (4) Å from the weighted least-squares plane through the rest of the ring atoms. In the sugar residue of DCH (Subramanian & Hunt, 1970), C3' is displaced endo by 0.361 Å and C2' is 0.245 Å exo. The conformation of the C5'-C4' bond is better described by the torsion angles (Shefter & Trueblood, 1965) $O5' - C5' - C4' - O1' [-63.2 (4)^{\circ}]$ and O5'-C5'-C4'-C3' [52.9 (5)°]. The C6-N1-C1'-O1' torsion angle, describing the relative orientation of the sugar and base (Sundaralingam & Jensen, 1965), is 46.3 (4)° in GH, while it is 0 and 18.1 (4) $^{\circ}$ in DCH and BC, respectively. The length of the N1-C1' bond is found to be longer if this torsion angle is less. This is attributed to the repulsive forces between the electrons in the bonds N1-C6 and C1'-O1' (Subramanian & Hunt, 1970). This is evident from the N1-C1' bond

Received 23 July 2003 Accepted 26 August 2003 Online 5 September 2003

DRL publication No. 256.

01435

organic papers







The structure of gemcitabine hydrochloride, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.



Figure 2

Packing of the molecules, viewed down the c axis. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by thin lines.

length in DCH [1.508 (3) Å], BC [1.490 (4) Å] and GH [1.449 (5) Å]. The absolute stereochemistry of atoms C1', C3' and C4' has been determined to be R, R and R, respectively, using anomalous dispersion of the Cl atom. The Flack paramDear Deter for the reported configuration is 0.00 (3), while that for the inverted structure is 0.98(3).

In the asymmetric unit, the gemcitabine cation and chloride ion are linked by N3-H1···Cl1 and N4-H5···Cl1 hydrogen bonds. In addition to these hydrogen bonds, $C1'-H6\cdots O2$ and $C3' - H9 \cdots O5'$ weak intramolecular interactions are also observed. In the crystal structure, the screw-related gemcitabine cations are linked by $O3' - H13 \cdots O2(\frac{1}{2} - x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$ hydrogen bonds involving the 3'-hydroxyl group of the sugar and the carbonyl oxygen of the base, to form molecular columns along the c axis. Adjacent molecular columns are interlinked by N4-H4···Cl1 $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -1 - z)$ hydrogen bonds involving the amino group and O5'-H14···Cl1($\frac{1}{2}$ + x, $\frac{1}{2} - y, -z$) hydrogen bonds involving the 5'-hydroxyl group, to form a molecular network. It is interesting to note that both the H atoms of the amino group participate in N-H···Cl hydrogen bonds in GH, while these H atoms in DCH are used to form $N-H\cdots Cl$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. Table 2 lists the geometry of hydrogen bonds and $C-H \cdots O$ interactions.

Experimental

The route reported by Chou et al. (1992) was adopted to prepare the title compound. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction study were grown from mixture of methanol and water (9:1).

 $C_9H_{12}F_2N_3O_4^+ \cdot Cl^-$ Cu Ka radiation Cell parameters from 25 Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ reflections $\theta = 22.6 - 24.8^{\circ}$ b = 15.5987 (9) Å $\mu = 3.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298.2 KBlock, colourless $0.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$ $D_x = 1.659 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Rigaku AFC-7S diffractometer $R_{\rm int} = 0.028$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 67.9^{\circ}$ $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$ (North et al., 1968) $k = -18 \rightarrow 18$ $T_{\min} = 0.175, \ T_{\max} = 0.271$ $l = -7 \rightarrow 7$ 3 standard reflections every 150 reflections 2032 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ intensity decay: 1.3% Refinement Refinement on F $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.041$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.77 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^2$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: Larson (1970), eq. 22 Extinction coefficient: 110 (9) Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 828 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter = 0.00 (3)

Crystal data $M_r = 299.66$ a = 11.4680(7) Å $c = 6.706 (2) \text{ \AA}$ V = 1199.6 (4) Å² Z = 4Data collection ω -2 θ scans

Absorption correction: ψ scan 2540 measured reflections 2083 independent reflections

R = 0.060wR = 0.060S = 1.132082 reflections 191 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement Weighting scheme: Chebychev polynomial with 3 parameters (Carruthers & Watkin, 1979):

2.0710, 1.3167 and 0.0135

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

F1-C2'	1.371 (4)	N1-C6	1.393 (4)
F2-C2′	1.360 (5)	N1-C2	1.386 (5)
O2-C2	1.233 (4)	N1-C1′	1.449 (5)
O1'-C1'	1.409 (5)	N3-C4	1.360 (4)
O1'-C4'	1.441 (4)	N3-C2	1.361 (5)
O3'-C3'	1.406 (4)	N4-C4	1.295 (5)
O5'-C5'	1.410 (6)		
C1′-O1′-C4′	109.7 (3)	O1'-C1'-C2'	106.1 (3)
C2-N1-C1'	118.2 (3)	N1-C1'-C2'	112.5 (3)
C6-N1-C1'	119.7 (3)	F1 - C2' - F2	104.5 (3)
C2-N1-C6	120.7 (3)	F1 - C2' - C1'	109.3 (3)
C2-N3-C4	125.2 (3)	F1 - C2' - C3'	111.2 (3)
O2-C2-N3	122.4 (3)	F2-C2'-C1'	112.3 (3)
O2-C2-N1	121.5 (3)	F2-C2'-C3'	114.0 (3)
N1-C2-N3	116.2 (3)	O3'-C3'-C2'	114.7 (3)
N3-C4-N4	118.8 (3)	O3'-C3'-C4'	116.1 (3)
N3-C4-C5	117.3 (3)	O1'-C4'-C3'	104.8 (3)
N4-C4-C5	124.0 (3)	O1'-C4'-C5'	107.5 (3)
N1-C6-C5	121.3 (3)	O5'-C5'-C4'	112.6 (4)
O1'-C1'-N1	109.2 (3)		

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
N3-H1···Cl1	0.95	2.17	3.068 (3)	157
$N4-H4\cdots Cl1^i$	0.95	2.29	3.158 (4)	151
N4-H5···Cl1	0.95	2.38	3.224 (4)	147
$O3' - H13 \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.94 (5)	1.90 (6)	2.829 (4)	173 (5)
O5′−H14···Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93(2)	2.31 (3)	3.212 (3)	165 (5)
$C1' - H6 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	0.95	2.35	2.734 (5)	103
C3'-H9···O5'	0.95	2.48	2.928 (4)	109

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, -1 - z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, -y, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, -z.

Atoms H13 and H14 were located in a difference map and their positional and isotropic displacement parameters were refined. Other H atoms were placed geometrically with C–H and N–H distances fixed at 0.95 Å and $U_{\rm iso}$ values set at $1.2U_{\rm eq}$ of the bound atoms. Owing to the large fraction of weak data at higher angles, the completeness of the data is low (96%).

Data collection: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1994); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *CRYSTALS* (Watkin *et al.*, 1996); molecular graphics: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSC, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *CrystalStructure*.

The authors acknowledge Dr A. Venkateswarlu for the interest and encouragement in this work. The suggestions from Professor K. Chinnakali, Anna University, Chennai, towards the manuscripts is highly appreciated. The help rendered by Dr Lee Daniels, Rigaku/MSC, USA, in resolving the problems during refinement of the structure is acknowledged.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Carruthers, J. R. & Watkin, D. J. (1979). Acta Cryst, A35, 698-699.
- Chou, T. S., Heath, P. C., Patterson, L. E., Potect, L. M., Lakin, R. E. & Hunt, A. H. (1992). *Synthesis*, pp. 565–570.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. Ahmed, pp. 291–294. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1994). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1995). *TEXSAN*. Version 1.7-2. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Rigaku/MSC (2003). CrystalStructure. Version 3.5.1. Rigaku/MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Shefter, E. & Trueblood, K. N. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 1067-1077.
- Subramanian, E. & Hunt, D. J. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 303-311.
- Sundaralingam, M. & Jensen, L. H. (1965). J. Mol. Biol. 13, 914-929.
- Ward, D. L. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1789-1792.
- Watkin, D. J., Prout, C. K., Carruthers, J. R. & Betteridge, P. W. (1996). CRYSTALS. Issue 10. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Oxford, England.